To: Way, Steven[way.steven@epa.gov]; Rob Runkel[runkel@usgs.gov]

Cc: McComb, Martin[McComb.Martin@epa.gov]

From: Christner, Jan

Sent: Fri 9/4/2015 3:15:17 AM

Subject: RE: predicting A72 concentrations w/o GK treatment

The data prior to 8/11 was not used in any of the analyses.

----Original Message-----

From: Way, Steven [mailto:way.steven@epa.gov] Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2015 9:13 PM

To: Rob Runkel

Cc: Christner, Jan; McComb, Martin

Subject: Re: predicting A72 concentrations w/o GK treatment

Rob,

Thank you for the recommendations on the analysis. The only thing that I suggest be considered is using the WQ data from GK after August 10 at least. The period immediately following August 5 is not representative of what we are seeing now relative to suspended solids. The flow is much more clear now. The data exists to make that call on flow stabilizing relative to turbidity in the adit discharge.

We can talk when you return from your MN trip.

Thanks again, Steve

Sent from my iPad

> On Sep 3, 2015, at 5:36 PM, Rob Runkel <runkel@usgs.gov> wrote:

> As I said on the phone, perhaps more for me than for you, a recap:

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

1811924

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

- > This represents a worst-case calculation on two fronts (a) it
- > doesn't consider attenuation; (b) it assumes flow from the gold king
- > will not decrease over time

> ------

- > Rob Runkel
- > Research Hydrologist
- > U.S. Geological Survey
- > runkel@usgs.gov
- > 303.541.3013
- > http://profile.usgs.gov/runkel
- > -----

>

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.